



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.aspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/493,917	01/28/2000	Chris Warren Patten	50N3426(3020/5)	2820
27774	7590 08/14/2003			
MAYER, FORTKORT & WILLIAMS, PC 251 NORTH AVENUE WEST 2ND FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			YENKE, BRIAN P	
WESTFIELD	, NJ 07090		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2614	1 (
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003	/ /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
				·
Office Action Summary		09/493,917	PATTEN ET AL.	
	······································	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of th	is communication a	BRIAN P. YENKE	2614 2614 eet with the correspondence ad	ldress
Period for Reply			icot war the con copenaches as	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available unde after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing decrease. If NO period for reply specified above is lessified above, in a Failure to reply within the set or extended any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C Status	COMMUNICATION rethe provisions of 37 CFR 1 ate of this communication. ss than thirty (30) days, a rethe maximum statutory period for reply will, by statuthree months after the mail	136(a). In no event, however, ply within the statutory minimud will apply and will expire SIX te, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timel (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communi	cation(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final		
closed in accordance wi	in condition for allow th the practice unde	vance except for form or <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	al matters, prosecution as to th 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	ie merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8-14,17 and</u>	•	- ,,		
4a) Of the above claim(s)		awn from consideration	on.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allo				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8-14,17,20 a</u>		d.		
7) Claim(s) is/are obj				
8) Claim(s) are subject Application Papers	ct to restriction and	or election requireme	nt.	
9) ☐ The specification is object	ed to by the Examir	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b)⊡ objected t	to by the Examiner.	
			abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing cor	rection filed on	is: a)∏ approved I	b) disapproved by the Examin	er.
If approved, corrected draw	wings are required in r	eply to this Office action	l.	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is	objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 a	nd 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made	e of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐	None of:			
1. Certified copies of	the priority documer	nts have been receive	ed.	
2. Certified copies of	the priority documer	nts have been receive	d in Application No	
	n the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2		Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made	of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).
a) ☐ The translation of the 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made	foreign language p	rovisional application	has been received.	•
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (ing Review (PTO-948)	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Noo stice of Informal Patent Application (PTo ner:	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office A	ection Summary	Part of Paper No. 11	

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The examiner is providing a new grounds of rejection, since one of the applied references (Marflak et al., US 6,323,915) in the rejection is assigned to the same assignee in the current application, which was filed after 29 November 1999. Any incovenience is regretted.
- The amendment received 23 June 2003 does not comply with rule 37 CFR
 1.121, which requires a complete listing of all the claims, specifically claims 11-12 and
 17-18 were not included. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

'Application/Control Number: 09/493,917

Art Unit: 2614

Claims 1-5, 8-14 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Teraoka et al., US 5,537,149 in view of applicants admitted prior art**.

In considering claims 1-2 and 10-11

- 1) the claimed receiving an image having a first aspect ratio... is met where a video image of a first aspect ratio (4:3 or 16:9) is received (col 5, line 14-46).
- 2) the claimed displaying said image on a display having a second aspect ratio is met where the received first aspect ratio (4:3 or 16:9) is converted into a display format (16:9 or 4:3) respectively (col 5, line 14-46).
- 3) the claimed moving said image is met where Teraoka et al., discloses a system which expands or compresses the respective video signal, where the video signal is size adjusted to maintain the distance from the original vertical and horizontal center.

However, Teraoka remains silent on the display having sensors which detect the image and moving the image as a single entire image.

The use of sensors on a display to control the displayed picture is well-known in the art. As disclosed by applicant's Fig 1, 2 which includes sensors 108/208, 110/210, 112/212 and 114/214 to ascertain the position of the displayed image and assist in the adjustment of the displayed picture.

Teraoka et al, discloses a Display Device which receives either a 4:3 or 16:9 video signals and displays the received signal on a 16:9 and 4:3 display device respectively. Teraoka discloses a system which expands or compresses the respective

'Application/Control Number: 09/493,917

Art Unit: 2614

video signal, where the video signal is size adjusted to maintain the distance from the original vertical and horizontal center.

Sensors are conventional in the art, where sensors are used to center a received signal onto a display. In the event a signal is displayed which has the desired aspect ratio, where the image is shifted down (or up, left or right), in order to center the signal the signal must be moved (as a single entire image) up (or down, right or left respectively) in order to provide a centered display which maintains the image aspect ratio.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Teraoka which discloses a system which receives either a 16:9/4:3 video signal being displayed on a 4:3/16:9 display by expanding or compressing the image to maintain the center position of the original image, by using the conventional sensors as admitted by applicant's Fig 1, 2, and moving an image of the desired aspect ratio to the sensors, in order to properly align/display the received on the appropriate display.

In considering claims 3 and 12,

The claimed wherein said first aspect ratio is a 16:9 aspect ratio and said second aspect ratio is a 4:3 aspect ratio is met by Teraoka, which discloses the displaying of a received 4:3 and 16:9 video signal, onto a 16:9 and 4:3 display, respectively.

In considering claims 4-5, 8-9, 13-14 and 18,

Page 5

As stated above in claim 1, Teraoka remains silent on the use of conventional sensors as disclosed in applicant's Fig 1 and 2, and also in the step size being in centimeters.

The use of sensors on a display to control the displayed picture is well-known in the art. As disclosed by applicant's Fig 1, 2 which includes sensors 108/208, 110/210, 112/212 and 114/214 to ascertain the position of the displayed image and assist in the adjustment of the displayed picture.

Teraoka, discloses a Display Device which receives either a 4:3 or 16:9 video signals and displays the received signal on a 16:9 and 4:3 display device respectively. Teraoka discloses a system which expands or compresses the respective video signal, where the video signal is size adjusted to maintain the distance from the original vertical and horizontal center. Although, Teraoka remains silent on the size of the adjustments, it is known that pixels range in size in terms of millimeters and thus a centimeter step (increment) would provide an adjustment in terms of multiple pixels.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art, to modify/utilize in Teraoka, which discloses the conversion of a received first aspect ratio video signal, into a 2nd displayed aspect ratio, with applicant's admitted prior art, in order to determine the position of the adjusted 2nd aspect ratio video signal, by using conventional display sensors in order to maintain the center position, both horizontally and vertically, of the original 1st aspect ratio receive signal, by moving the image (converted or not) to ensure the image is centered on the display by adjusting the pixels (which are in units of millimeters) in steps of centimeters in centering the image.

Page 6

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (703) 305-9871. The examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Supervisor, John W. Miller, can be reached at (703)305-4795.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703)305-4700.

BRIAN P. YENKE Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2614

B.P.Y July 30, 2003